UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	No. 17-7446		
ROGER LEE DEAL, SR.,			
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,		
v.			
ROY COOPER,			
Respondent -	Appellee.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Chie			
Submitted: February 15, 2018		Decided:	February 20, 2018
Before WILKINSON, FLOYD, an	d THACKER, Circui	t Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.		
Roger Lee Deal, Sr., Appellant Pro	Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

Roger Lee Deal, Sr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as successive his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petitions. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Deal has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED