## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 17-7468	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	•,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
TIQUAN SAVARY,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
	_	
Appeal from the United States D Norfolk. Arenda L. Wright Allen cv-00164-AWA)		•
Submitted: December 19, 2017		Decided: December 22, 2017
Before SHEDD, AGEE, and DIAZ	Z, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
TiQuan Savary, Appellant Pro Se. ATTORNEY, Norfolk, Virginia, fo	<u> </u>	FICE OF THE UNITED STATES
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

## PER CURIAM:

TiQuan Savary seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Savary has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**