UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 17-7619	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
DEMETRIUS SPENCE, a/k/a Mea	ıt,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Elizabeth City. James C. Dever II 00045-D)		
Submitted: August 30, 2018		Decided: September 11, 2018
Before AGEE, FLOYD, and THAC	CKER, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Demetrius Spence, Appellant Pro S	Se.	
Unpublished oninions are not hindi	ng precedent in this ci	irouit

PER CURIAM:

Demetrius Spence seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Spence has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Spence's motions to appoint counsel and for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED