## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		•	
	No. 17-7646		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	٠,		
Plaintiff - App	pellee,		
v.			
DEVONE SHARNELL BEST,			
Defendant - A	ppellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Wilmington. James C. Dever III 00274-D)			
Submitted: April 17, 2018		Decided:	April 20, 2018
Before WILKINSON and KEEN Judge.	AN, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON,	Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.		
Devone Sharnell Best, Appellant P	ro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Devone Sharnell Best seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion and denying his motion for reconsideration. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Best has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED