UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 17-7648	
JOHN JULIUS SMITH,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
V.		
WARDEN, Goodman Correctional	Institution,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Florence. Terry L. Wooten, Chief		
Submitted: June 14, 2018		Decided: June 18, 2018
Before TRAXLER, DUNCAN, and	l WYNN, Circuit Ju	dges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
John Julius Smith, Appellant Pro S	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not hindi	ng precedent in this	circuit

PER CURIAM:

John Julius Smith seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Smith has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED