Starsha Sewell v. Strayer University Appeal: 18-1096 Doc: 12

Filed: 05/17/2018 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 18-1096	
STARSHA M. SEWELL, M.Ed.,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
STRAYER UNIVERSITY; ROBE	ERT SILBERMAN; I	KARL MCDONNELL,
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Di Paul W. Grimm, District Judge. (8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submitted: May 15, 2018		Decided: May 17, 2018
Before DUNCAN, DIAZ, and THA	ACKER, Circuit Judg	ges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Starsha M. Sewell, Appellant Pro S SMOAK & STEWART, PC, Wash	•	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

Doc. 406987803

PER CURIAM:

Starsha M. Sewell appeals the district court's order striking her motion for reconsideration of her Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion and directing that the motion for reconsideration be stricken from the district court's docket. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Sewell's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Sewell has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED