UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-			
_	No. 18-1243		
In re: WILLIAM SCOTT DAVIS,	JR.,		
Petitioner.			
-			
On Petition for Wri	t of Mandamus. (5:1	4-cr-00240-BR-1)	
Submitted: June 21, 2018		Decided:	July 3, 2018
Before WILKINSON, FLOYD, and	d THACKER, Circui	it Judges.	
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.		
William Scott Davis, Jr., Petitioner	Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

William Scott Davis, Jr., petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order prohibiting the district court from proceeding to sentencing in Davis' criminal case. We conclude that Davis is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy that may be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988).

In this case, because the district court has already sentenced Davis and entered final judgment in his criminal matter, Davis' claim for mandamus relief is moot. Furthermore, to the extent Davis claims that the district court erred in his criminal proceedings, mandamus relief is unavailable because he may challenge his conviction and sentence in his direct appeal, *United States v. Davis*, No. 18-4201, and mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. *In re Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007). Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We deny all of Davis' pending motions, including his motions to amend, to appoint counsel, to produce district court transcripts, and to enjoin the district court from proceeding. We dispense with oral argument

because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED