## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

FOR T	THE FOURTH CIRC	CUIT
_	No. 18-1396	
In re: MICHAEL ANTHONY JON	IES,	
Petitioner.		
On Petition for Writ of	Mandamus. (1:15-0	ev-00050-IMK-RWT)
Submitted: June 21, 2018		Decided: June 25, 2018
Before DIAZ and HARRIS, Circuit	Judges, and SHEDI	D, Senior Circuit Judge.
Petitions denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
Michael Anthony Jones, Petitioner I	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not hindir	ng precedent in this	circuit

## PER CURIAM:

Michael Anthony Jones filed a petition and an amended petition for a writ of mandamus, seeking an order directing the district court to consider objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation to deny relief in his civil case. We conclude that Jones is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re Norfolk S. Ry. Co.*, 756 F.3d 282, 294 (4th Cir. 2014).

Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. *In re Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007). Jones has not shown the existence of an extraordinary circumstance, nor has he shown that he has a clear right to the relief he seeks. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petitions for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITIONS DENIED