Katherine Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Maryland Appeal: 18-1473 Doc: 13 Filed: 08/20/2018 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

TOK I		2011
_	No. 18-1473	
KATHERINE B. ROBINSON; DA	NA B. WILLIAMS,	
Plaintiffs - Ap	pellants,	
v.		
CHESAPEAKE BANK OF MARY	ZLAND; PROCTOR	FINANCIAL, INC.,
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Catherine C. Blake, District Judge.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submitted: August 16, 2018		Decided: August 20, 2018
Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit J	Judges, and SHEDD,	, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Katherine B. Robinson, Dana B. W	illiams, Appellants F	Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Appellants have filed this appeal purporting to challenge a 2014 state court order dismissing a state law property damage claim. We lack jurisdiction to review the state court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012) ("The courts of appeals (other than the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit) shall have jurisdiction of appeals from all final decisions of the district courts of the United States"); *see also Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983) (recognizing that federal courts do not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders).

To the extent Appellants seek to challenge this court's 2017 order dismissing as interlocutory a previous challenge to the district court's dismissal of the underlying federal action, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 691 F. App'x 782 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1217), Appellants have already asked this court to revisit that order, and we dismissed that appeal as duplicative and untimely, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 703 F. App'x 212 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1796). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction and as duplicative and untimely. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid in the decisional process.

DISMISSED