UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-1633

JANICE WOLK GRENADIER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

REBECCA BEACH SMITH, Chief Judge Honorable; LEONIE M. BRINKEMA, Judge; GERALD BRUCE LEE, Judge; LIAM O'GRADY, Judge; ANTHONY J. TRENGA, Judge; JAMES C. CACHERIS, Senior Judge; CLAUDE M. HILTON, Senior Judge; T.S. ELLIS, III, Senior Judge; THERESA C. BUCHANAN, Magistrate Judge; JOHN F. ANDERSON, Magistrate Judge; IVAN D. DAVIS, Magistrate Judge; MICHAEL NACHMANOFF, Magistrate Judge; T. RAWLES JONES, JR., Magistrate Judge; ROGER L. GREGORY, Chief Judge; J. HARVIE WILKINSON, III, Judge; PAUL V. NIEMEYER, Judge; DIANA GRIBBON MOTZ, Judge; WILLIAM B. TRAXLER, JR., Judge; ROBERT B. KING, Judge; DENNIS W. SHEDD, Judge; ALLYSON K. DUNCAN, Judge; G. STEVEN AGEE, Judge; BARBARA MILANO KEENAN, Judge; JAMES A WYNN, JR., Judge; ALBERT DIAZ, Judge; HENRY F. FLOYD, Judge; STEPHANIE D. THACKER, Judge; PAMELA A. HARRIS, Judge; CLYDE H. HAMILTON, Senior Judge; ANDRE M. DAVIS, Senior Judge; BERYL A. HOWELL, Chief Judge; EMMET G. SULLIVAN, District Judge; JAMES E. BOASBERG, District Judge; AMY BERMAN JACKSON, District Judge; RUDOLPH CONTRERAS, District Judge; ROYCE C. LAMBERTH, Senior Judge; ELLEN S. HUVELLE, Senior Judge; REGGIE B. WALTON, Senior Judge; RICHARD J. LEON, Senior Judge; ROSEMARY M. COLLYER, Senior Judge; MERRICK B. GARLAND, Chief Judge; BRIAN KENNEY, Judge; STEPHEN MITCHELL, Judge; JANE/JOHN DOES, 1-100; Defendants all Public Servants, individually and in his or her official capacity as a Federal Judge an Officer of the Court,

Defendants - Appellees.

Alexandria. Henry E. Hudson, Senior District Ju	e i
Submitted: November 7, 2018	Decided: November 21, 2018
Before RICHARDSON and QUATTLEBAUM,	Circuit Judges.*
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Janice Wolk Grenadier, Appellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in	in this circuit.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ This opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \S 46(d) (2012).

PER CURIAM:

Janice Wolk Grenadier appeals the district court's order denying her post-judgment motions. We have reviewed the record and agree with the district court that it lacked the authority to grant the requested relief. Accordingly, we affirm. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED