UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| No. 18-1744 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| XUNXIAN LIU, | | | | | | | |
| Plaintiff - Appellant, | | | | | | | |
| v. | | | | | | | |
| ALEX M. AZAR, II, Secretary of Health and Human Services, | | | | | | | |
| Defendant - Appellee, | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | |
| MARY CATHERINE BUSHNELL, Scientific Director of National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH); WENDY LIFFERS, NCCIH Executive Officer and Deputy Ethics Counselor; DAVID SHURTLEFF, NICCH Deputy Director, | | | | | | | |
| Defendants. | | | | | | | |
| Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt Theodore D. Chuang, District Judge. (8:17-cv-01398-TDC) | | | | | | | |
| Submitted: November 15, 2018 Decided: November 19, 2018 | | | | | | | |
| Before MOTZ and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge. | | | | | | | |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Xunxian Liu, Appellant | Pro Se. | Evelyn | Lombardo | Cusson, | OFFICE | OF THE | UNITED |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| STATES ATTORNEY, | Baltimore | e, Mary | land, for Ap | pellee. | | | |

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Xunxian Liu appeals the district court's order granting Defendants summary judgment on his civil claims against them, including discrimination and retaliation brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (West 2012 & Supp. 2018), and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C.A. §§ 621 to 634 (West 2018). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *See Liu v. Azar*, No. 8:17-cv-01398-TDC (D. Md. June 22, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED