

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 18-1800**

---

WILLIAM F. SMITH, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

AMAZON.COM.KYDC, LLC,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at  
Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, District Judge. (3:18-cv-00170-MHL)

---

Submitted: December 20, 2018

Decided: January 9, 2019

---

Before AGEE and WYNN, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

William F. Smith, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Jocelyn Renee Cuttino, MORGAN LEWIS &  
BOCKIUS, LLP, Washington, D.C., for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William F. Smith, Jr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his complaint without prejudice pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 12101-12213 (West 2013 & Supp. 2018). On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Smith's informal brief does not challenge the district court's holding that the action was time-barred, Smith has forfeited appellate review of that issue. See *Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). In any event, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error in the district court's timeliness ruling. Accordingly, we grant leave to proceed in formal pauperis and affirm. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*