UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 18-1922	
GLENDA CECILIA LIZAMA-DE	COREAS; C.A.C.L.	.; N.P.C.L.; Y.L.C.L.,
Petitioners,		
v.		
WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney Ger	neral,	
Respondent.		
On Petition for Review of an Order	of the Board of Imn	nigration Appeals.
Submitted: May 30, 2019		Decided: June 14, 2019
Before WILKINSON and RICHA Circuit Judge.	RDSON, Circuit J	udges, and HAMILTON, Senior
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
Tamara L. Jezic, YACUB LAW Joseph H. Hunt, Assistant Attorne Counsel, Sunah Lee, Office DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Wa	ey General, Andrew of Immigration	N. O'Malley, Senior Litigation Litigation, UNITED STATES

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Glenda Cecilia Lizama-De Coreas (Lizama) and her three minor children, natives and citizens of El Salvador, petition for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals adopting and affirming the Immigration Judge's oral decision and dismissing their appeal of the Immigration Judge's denial of Lizama's requests for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We deny the petition for review.

Specifically, upon review of the certified administrative record, including the transcript of the merits hearing and Lizama's supporting materials, we conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the agency's factual findings, see 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the denial of the various forms of relief sought in this case, see INS v. Elias-Zacarias, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992). Nor do we find that the agency abused its discretion in denying Lizama's application for humanitarian asylum under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.13(b)(1)(iii) (2019). Accord Mambwe v. Holder, 572 F.3d 540, 550 (8th Cir. 2009) (providing standard of review for the denial of humanitarian asylum). Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board in its order adopting the Immigration Judge's decision. See In re Lizama-De Coreas (B.I.A. July 16, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED