UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 18-1963	
In re: HENRY EARL MILLER, a/k/a Stef, a/k/a Stefan,		
Petitioner.		
On Petition for Writ of Mandar	nus. (6:04-cr-00022-JN	AC-3; 6:17-cv-00805-JMC)
Submitted: September 13, 2018		Decided: September 17, 2018
Before NIEMEYER and KING, Cin	rcuit Judges, and HAM	ILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
Henry Earl Miller, Petitioner Pro Se	e	
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Henry Earl Miller petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order directing the district court to adjudicate all the claims in his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. We conclude that Miller is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988).

The relief sought by Miller is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for a writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED