UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	
_	No. 18-2317
THOMAS RAYMOND FIRRIOLO),
Plaintiff - Appo	ellant,
v.	
DAVID DIAMANT, M.D., AFC, LLC, Urgent Care, Greenville, South Carolina; JESSICA MITCHELL, FNP, Urgent Care, Greenville, South Carolina; ANDREW BEAZLEY, RN, MHA, Corporate Director, Expericience of Care, bon Secours Health System, LLC, Greenville, South Carolina; WAEL HAIDAR, MD, MBA, Senior Vice President, Provider Net Works, Bon Secours Health System, LLC, Greenville, South Carolina; KATHY THORSELL, Director, Bon Secours Health System, LLC, Greenville, South Carolina; WELL CARE HEALTH PLAN, Insurer, Columbia, South Carolina; C.T. CORPORATE SYSTEM, LLC, Columbia, South Carolina; HOME CARE HEALTH OF THE LOW COUNTRY; HOME CARE OF THE MEDLAND; HOME CARE OF THE UP-STATE, all of Columbia, South Carolina,	
Defendants - A	appellees.
_	
* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, at ., District Judge. (6:18-cv-00898-DCC)
Submitted: August 7, 2020	Decided: August 28, 2020
Before KING and RICHARDSON,	Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	n opinion.

Thomas Raymond Firriolo, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Raymond Firriolo appeals the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation and dismissing Firriolo's civil action without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Firriolo's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition of his claims, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief.") Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We deny Firriolo's motions for extensions of time and deny as moot his motion for a decision in this appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court dismissed the complaint without prejudice, we have jurisdiction over this appeal. *See Bing v. Brivo Sys., LLC*, 959 F.3d 605, 615 (4th Cir. 2020).