UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-2318

DANIEL R. MCCLAIN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LEFFORD FATE, et alia,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

SAMUEL SOLTIS, Assc Dir; JOHN B. TOMARCHIO, Med Dir; THOMAS MOORE, Med Dir; JOHN A. DAVIS, Med Dir; ANNA MOAK, Healthcare Authority (HCA); JANICE PHILLIPS, Admin Coord; ANGELA HARDIN, ADA Coord; WARDEN RALPH COTHRAN; WARDEN NOLAN; WARDEN ROBE RTS; ASSOC. WARDEN K. SHARP; ASSC. WARDEN BRADSHAW; ASSC. WARDEN DEAN; DR. WAY; DR SHARP; DR BENNETT; DR MCREE; DR HUGHES; DR HEDGEPATH; DR PAUL DRAGO; DR STEEN; DR BEIN OR; DR KAY; DR MCCRAY; SALLY BLAKE, Nurse Practitioner; SALLEY, Nurse Practitioner; OLSEN, Nurse Practitioner; ALLEN, Nurse Practitioner; BLOCK, Nurse Practitioner; HCA BLACKWELL; HCA HUGGINS; HCA PAC E; WARDEN THOMAS; DR BABBS; WARDEN COLIE RUSHTON; WARDEN KENDELL: WARDEN LEROY CARTLEDGE; ASSC **ASSC** WARDEN LEWIS; WARDEN PADULA; DR PATE; DR KIRBY; NEVILLE; DR MCFADDEN; DR BLACKWELL; DR BLACK; HCA HODGE; DR PAGE; DR ELLISON; DR QUESIK; WARDEN WHITE; DR VALPEY; DR EL SHAMIL; DR MICHAEL KIRBY; DR HERBOT; DR ALEWINE; GRECO, Nurse Practitioner; DR LANGSTON; DR LEVENTIS; BARBARA MCKELLAR, Nurse Practitioner; HCA THOMAS BOSWELL; HOLLY R. PISARIK, Director; ADRIENNE YOUMANS, Director; MARK DORMAN, Assistant Deputy Director; ALTHEA B. MYERS, Inv; DR JAMES W JARVIS; **BRINGS**: DR BRENNAN; DR LUCKY; DR **HANNS** DR DAVIS: CATHERINE TEMPLETON, Director; GLORIA PROVOST, Director; DAVID

TATARSKY, DUNLAP,	General	Counsel;	HCA	SARVER;	DR	WOODS;	LINDA
	Defe	ndants.					
Appeal from th Hill. Margaret							rolina, at Rock
Submitted: April 4, 2019						Decided	: April 8, 2019
Before NIEME	YER and I	HARRIS, C	ircuit Jı	udges, and S	HEDD), Senior Ci	rcuit Judge.
Affirmed by un	published	per curiam	opinion	1.			
Daniel R. McC HUGHES, PA,					nann, l	LINDEMA	NN, DAVIS &
Unpublished op	oinions are	not binding	g preced	lent in this ci	ircuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Daniel R. McClain appeals the district court's text order denying his post-judgment pro se motion to alter or amend judgment and his "Motion Re-asserted for the S.C. State Legislature, et al., As Defendants; and Motion For Injunction Order Re-asserted." We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. McClain's motions failed to establish any valid basis for relief from the underlying order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Accordingly, we affirm. We deny McClain's motions for injunctive relief pending appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED