ON PETITON FOR REHEARING

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 18-4844	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	٠,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ALVIN MARION PICKETT,		
Defendant - A	appellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distr		•
Submitted: November 18, 2021		Decided: December 3, 2021
Before THACKER and RICHAR Judge.	DSON, Circuit Judg	es, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit
Dismissed in part, affirmed in pacuriam opinion.	rt, vacated in part, a	and remanded by unpublished per
G. Alan DuBois, Federal Public I Defender, OFFICE OF THE FEDI for Appellant. Jennifer P. May-Par Assistant United States Attorney,	ERAL PUBLIC DEF ker, Assistant United	ENDER, Raleigh, North Carolina, States Attorney, Joshua L. Rogers,

Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.	
--	--

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Alvin Marion Pickett pled guilty, pursuant to a written plea agreement, to two counts of brandishing a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The district court imposed a 246-month sentence, to be followed by 5 years of supervised release. On appeal, Pickett's counsel filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Pickett filed a supplemental pro se brief. The Government moved to dismiss the appeal as barred by the appellate waiver included in Pickett's plea agreement. We dismissed in part and affirmed in part. *See United States v. Pickett*, 833 F. App'x 392 (4th Cir. 2021) (No. 18-4844).

Pickett now petitions this court for panel rehearing in light of *United States v.*Rogers, 961 F.3d 291, 296-300 (4th Cir. 2020) (holding that district court must announce all nonmandatory conditions of supervised release at sentencing hearing in order to "give[] defendants a chance to object to conditions that are not tailored to their individual circumstances"), and *United States v. Singletary*, 984 F.3d 341, 345 (4th Cir. 2021) (explaining that a Rogers challenge falls outside scope of plea waiver because "the heart of a Rogers claim is that discretionary conditions appearing for the first time in a written judgment . . . have not been 'imposed' on the defendant"). Pickett's written criminal judgment contained "mandatory" and "standard" conditions of supervised release, as well as "additional standard conditions of supervision." The district court did not announce two of the additional standard conditions—requiring that Pickett "shall not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without approval of the probation office" and that

he "shall provide the probation office with access to any requested financial information"—at sentencing.

Because these two financial conditions were not orally pronounced or otherwise incorporated at sentencing but rather "appear for the first time in a subsequent written judgment," Pickett "has not been sentenced to those conditions, and a remand for resentencing is required." *Singletary*, 984 F.3d at 344. We therefore grant Pickett's petition for panel rehearing. We grant the Government's motion to dismiss in part and dismiss the appeal as to all issues within the scope of Pickett's valid appellate waiver, and we otherwise affirm Pickett's convictions. However, we vacate his sentence and remand for resentencing.

This court requires that counsel inform Pickett, in writing, of the right to petition the Supreme Court of the United States for further review. If Pickett requests that a petition be filed, but counsel believes that such a petition would be frivolous, then counsel may move in this court for leave to withdraw from representation. Counsel's motion must state that a copy thereof was served on Pickett. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED IN PART, AFFIRMED IN PART, VACATED IN PART, AND REMANDED