## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 18-6115	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
JAMES J'MORI JONES,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Greensboro. William L. Osteen, 00076-WO-JLW)		
Submitted: May 24, 2018		Decided: May 30, 2018
Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and F	FLOYD, Circuit Judg	ges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
James J'Mori Jones, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

James J'Mori Jones seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Jones has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Jones' motion for transcript at government expense, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED