

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-6158

JEFFREY EMIL GROOVER,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at
Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Chief District Judge. (5:17-hc-02039-D)

Submitted: April 19, 2018

Decided: April 24, 2018

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and THACKER and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jeffrey Emil Groover, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jeffrey Emil Groover, a federal prisoner, appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error.* Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Groover v. United States*, No. 5:17-hc-02039-D (E.D.N.C. Feb. 6, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Groover relies on *United States v. Hartwell*, 448 F.3d 707, 715 (4th Cir. 2006), to argue that the district court was required to consider his challenges to the sentencing court's subject matter jurisdiction. However, the district court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider those challenges in a § 2241 petition. *See United States v. Wheeler*, No. 16-6073, ___ F.3d ___, 2018 WL 1514418, at *5 (4th Cir. Mar. 28, 2018) (holding that savings clause of 28 U.S.C. § 2255(e) (2012) is jurisdictional provision).