George B. Hawkins v. Harold Clarke Appeal: 18-6205 Doc: 12

Filed: 04/20/2018 Pg: 1 of 2

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	_S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

No. 18-6205		
GEORGE B. HAWKINS,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD CLARKE, Director, Dep	partment of Correction	ons,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D. Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Ser.		•
Submitted: April 17, 2018		Decided: April 20, 2018
Before WILKINSON and KEENA Judge.	AN, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
George B. Hawkins, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

Doc. 406951812

## PER CURIAM:

George B. Hawkins seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Hawkins has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Hawkins' motion for a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**