## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>.</u>	No. 18-6209	
TYRONE L. GRIFFIN,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director,	Virginia Departmen	t of Corrections,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge. (2:17-cv-00348-RAJ-DEM)		
Submitted: July 19, 2018		Decided: July 26, 2018
Before TRAXLER and WYNN, Ci	rcuit Judges, and HA	AMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Tyrone L. Griffin, Appellant Pro So	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Tyrone L. Griffin seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting in part the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Griffin has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**