## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

<del>-</del>		
_	No. 18-6362	
SUNDARI K. PRASAD, f/k/a Auro	ealia N. Nelson,	
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
v.		
DELTA SIGMA THETA SORORI	TY, INC., ETA Tau	Chapter,
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
-	_	
Appeal from the United States D Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, Dist		
Submitted: October 19, 2018		Decided: November 1, 2018
Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and F	LOYD, Circuit Judg	ges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.	
Sundari K. Prasad, Appellant Pro S	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Sundari K. Prasad appeals the district court's order denying her Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend the court's prior order dismissing as frivolous Prasad's civil rights action.\* We have reviewed the record in conjunction with Prasad's informal brief and find no reversible error.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Prasad's request for relief under Rule 59(e). See Mayfield v. Nat'l Ass'n for Stock Car Auto Racing, Inc., 674 F.3d 369, 378 (4th Cir. 2012) (stating standard of review). Specifically, Prasad did not advance an intervening change in controlling law or new evidence that was unavailable prior to the entry of the court's initial dismissal order. See id. (setting forth grounds for granting a Rule 59(e) motion). Nor did Prasad establish a clear legal error in the court's earlier ruling or a manifest injustice warranting the grant of Rule 59(e) relief. Robinson v. Wix Filtration Corp. LLC, 599 F.3d 403, 407-09 (4th Cir. 2010). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. See Prasad v. Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Inc., No. 3:16-cv-00897-MHL-RCY (E.D. Va. Mar. 30, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup> We previously affirmed the dismissal order. *See Prasad v. Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Inc.*, 712 F. App'x 336 (4th Cir. 2018) (No. 17-7376).