UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 18-6400	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	•,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
COREY DEWAYNE KERR,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Greensboro. N. Carlton Tilley, Jr. cv-00005-NCT-JLW)		
Submitted: June 21, 2018		Decided: June 26, 2018
Before DIAZ and HARRIS, Circui	t Judges, and SHED	D, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Corey Dewayne Kerr, Appellant Pr	ro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Corey Dewayne Kerr seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Kerr's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Kerr has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED