UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
_	No. 18-6413	
SEBASTIAN CORTEZ-HERNAN	IDEZ,	
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D. Alexandria. Anthony John Trenga		
Submitted: June 14, 2018		Decided: June 19, 2018
Before TRAXLER, DUNCAN, and	d WYNN, Circuit Ju	dges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Sebastian Cortez-Hernandez, Appe	ellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Sebastian Cortez-Hernandez seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Stack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Stack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Cortez-Hernandez has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED