UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 18-6443	
-		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
ALEJANDRO REYES,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, D 00379-JRS)		_
Submitted: November 14, 2018		Decided: November 21, 2018
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Judge.	MOTZ, Circuit Jud	dge, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Alejandro Reyes, Appellant Pro Se		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Alejandro Reyes seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on the 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion he filed after obtaining authorization from us to file his successive motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Reyes has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED