David Martinez v. Harold Clarke Appeal: 18-6503 Doc: 10

Filed: 07/31/2018 Pg: 1 of 2

## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 18-6503	_
DAVID MARTINEZ,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD CLARKE, Director of the	ne V.D.O.C.,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		<del>-</del>
Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. James P. Jones, District		
Submitted: July 26, 2018		Decided: July 31, 2018
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Circuit Judge.	, FLOYD, Circuit	Judge, and HAMILTON, Senior
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	_
David Martinez, Appellant Pro Se.		_
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

Doc. 407083392

## PER CURIAM:

David Martinez seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Martinez has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**