UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-6508	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	•
Plaintiff - App	ellee,
v.	
THOMAS TYRONE NORMAN,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.
* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Jr., Senior District Judge. (7:06-cr-00983-HMH-1;
Submitted: July 19, 2018	Decided: July 24, 2018
Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and	AGEE, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Thomas Tyrone Norman, Appellant	t Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Tyrone Norman seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion as successive and unauthorized. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Norman has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED