UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
_	No. 18-6538	
LEONICIO ARIAS COREAS,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD CLARKE, Director of the	he Herrin,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-	_	
Appeal from the United States E Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, Sen		•
Submitted: July 19, 2018		Decided: July 24, 2018
Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and	AGEE, Circuit Judg	es.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Leonicio Arias Coreas, Appellant ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRO		-
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Leonicio Arias Coreas seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition as time-barred. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Coreas has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED