## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF A	<b>NPPEALS</b>
FOR THE FOURTH CIRC	UIT

	THE FOURTH CIRC	
	No. 18-6633	
MICHAEL CATES,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUI BALTIMORE CITY STATE'S A GOVERNOR LARRY HOGAN,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Ellen L. Hollander, District Judge.		· ·
Submitted: August 16, 2018		Decided: August 21, 2018
Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit	Judges, and SHEDD	, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Michael Cates, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

Appeal: 18-6633 Doc: 11 Filed: 08/21/2018 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Michael Cates appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Cates' informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's order, Cates has forfeited appellate review of the court's judgment. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). To the extent that Cates seeks to raise an independent claim under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213 (2012), the named Defendants are not amenable to such suit. *See Baird ex rel. Baird v. Rose*, 192 F.3d 462, 472 (4th Cir. 1999). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**