## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF	APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRC	CUIT

	No. 18-6717	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	٠,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
DAMIEN RILEY,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Marvin J. Garbis, Senior District Ju		•
Submitted: August 16, 2018		Decided: August 21, 2018
Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit	Judges, and SHEDD	, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Damien Riley, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Damien Riley seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Riley has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**