

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 18-6718**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CEDRIC LLAWENLLYN SURRETT,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina,  
at Asheville. Martin K. Reidinger, District Judge. (1:12-cr-00055-MR-DLH-1;  
1:18-cv-00074-MR)

---

Submitted: October 18, 2018

Decided: October 23, 2018

---

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, KEENAN, Circuit Judge, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Cedric Llawenllyn Surratt, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Cedric Llawenllyn Surratt seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Surratt has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Surratt's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*DISMISSED*