UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 18-6720	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	۸,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
KENNETH LEE BROWN,		
Defendant - A	appellant.	
Appeal from the United States I Spartanburg. Henry M. Herlong 7:18-cv-01185-HMH)		
Submitted: October 23, 2018		Decided: October 26, 2018
Before NIEMEYER, KING, and W	VYNN, Circuit Judge	es.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Kenneth Lee Brown, Appellant Pro	o Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kenneth Lee Brown seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion as successive and unauthorized. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Brown has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Brown's motion for recusal of the district court judge, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED