## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 18-6978	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
HAKIM ABDULAH RASHID, a/k	/a Rodney Buchanan	,
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States E Florence. R. Bryan Harwell, Dis RBH)		
Submitted: December 18, 2018		Decided: December 21, 2018
Before AGEE, THACKER, and HA	ARRIS, Circuit Judge	es.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Hakim Abdulah Rashid, Appellant	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this o	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Hakim Abdulah Rashid seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion and denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Rashid has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**