UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 18-7050	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	•
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
GLENN ADKINS, JR.,		
Defendant - A ₁	ppellant.	
_		
Appeal from the United States Distant Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad 3:16-cv-00189-RJC)		Vestern District of North Carolina, ge. (3:12-cr-00259-RJC-DCK-1;
Submitted: October 18, 2018		Decided: October 23, 2018
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Circuit Judge.	KEENAN, Circuit	Judge, and HAMILTON, Senior
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Glenn Adkins, Jr., Appellant Pro		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Glenn Adkins, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Adkins has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED