UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| | No. 18-7238 |
|---|---|
| JENNIFER ANN JASMAINE, f/k/a I | Duane Leroy Fox, |
| Plaintiff - Appell | ant, |
| v. | |
| • | ealth; A. DAUGHETY, RN Lead Nurse; DR. S; MS. HACKETT, Head Chaplain; MR. |
| Defendants - App | pellees, |
| and | |
| LINDSEY; CHARLENE RICHAR FINESSE G. COUCH, Director; JC | FICER MILEY; SGT. JACKSON; OFFICER ADSON; MS. COLLENS, Case Manager; OHN DOES of Grievance Resolution Board ANE DOE, Director of Mental Health, |
| Defendants. | |
| | |
| Appeal from the United States Distric Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Distric | et Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at et Judge. (5:15-ct-03294-FL) |
| Submitted: February 28, 2019 | Decided: March 20, 2019 |
| Before NIEMEYER and RICHARI Circuit Judge. | OSON, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior |
| | |

Affirmed in part and dismissed in part by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jennifer Ann Jasmaine, Appellant Pro Se. Elizabeth Pharr McCullough, Madeleine Michelle Pfefferle, YOUNG MOORE & HENDERSON, PA, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jennifer Ann Jasmaine appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment to Defendants on her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint alleging deliberate indifference to her medical needs, in violation of the Eighth Amendment, and violations of the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act (RLUIPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000cc to 2000cc-5 (2012), and her First Amendment right to free exercise of religion. Because Jasmaine no longer resides in the correctional facility that formed the basis for her RLUIPA claim, we dismiss this claim as moot. See Rendelman v. Rouse, 569 F.3d 182, 186-87 (4th Cir. 2009). As to the other claims, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the remainder of the order for the reasons stated by the district court. Jasmaine v. Futrelle, No. 5:15-ct-03294-FL (E.D.N.C. Sept. 26, 2018). We deny Jasmaine's motions to appoint counsel, to refile the appeal, and to suspend the proceedings. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

> AFFIRMED IN PART, DISMISSED IN PART