UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

· ·		•
	No. 18-7274	
ANTHONY ROLAND MCGIVER	RY,	
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States E Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, Sen		
Submitted: February 26, 2019		Decided: March 1, 2019
Before KING, THACKER, and QU	JATTLEBAUM, Cir	rcuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Anthony Roland McGivery, Appel	lant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Anthony Roland McGivery seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition without prejudice as successive and unauthorized. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that McGivery has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED