UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		_
	No. 18-7437	_
JAMES GREGORY ARMISTEAL	Э,	
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
SUPERINTENDENT MILLIS,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, Chie		
Submitted: March 8, 2019		Decided: March 14, 2019
Before NIEMEYER and HARRIS,	Circuit Judges, and	TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.	_
James G. Armistead, Appellant Pro	Se.	_
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

James Gregory Armistead seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition without prejudice as successive. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Armistead has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED