UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| - | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| <u>-</u> | No. 19-1064 | | |
| In re: WILLIAM DAWSON, | | | |
| Petitioner. | | | |
| On Petition for Wr | rit of Mandamus. (5: | 18-hc-02303-BO) | |
| Submitted: May 23, 2019 | | Decided: | May 28, 2019 |
| Before KING and RICHARDSON | , Circuit Judges, and | SHEDD, Senior Cir | cuit Judge. |
| Petition denied by unpublished per | curiam opinion. | | |
| William Dawson, Petitioner Pro Se | | | |
| | | | |

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Dawson petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking this court to order the district court to declare him innocent of his North Carolina first-degree murder conviction and to vacate the conviction and life sentence. We conclude that Dawson is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re Murphy-Brown, LLC*, 907 F.3d 788, 795 (4th Cir. 2018).

Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal, *In re Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007), and this court does not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders, *Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983).

The relief sought by Dawson is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED