## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 19-1109	
In re: JAMES DOW VANDIVERI	E,	
Petitioner.		
On Petition for W	rit of Mandamus. (5	5:15-hc-02017-D)
Submitted: April 4, 2019		Decided: April 10, 2019
Before NIEMEYER and HARRIS,	, Circuit Judges, and	SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
James Dow Vandivere, Petitioner I	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

James Dow Vandivere petitions for a writ of mandamus, seeking an order directing the district court to explain why it denied his motion to set aside judgment. We conclude that Vandivere is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. *In re Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007).

The relief sought by Vandivere is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for a writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED