## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-1426

JOHN M. DICKSON, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MAYOR MCKINLEY L. PRICE, DDS, In his individual capacity, as a person acting under Color of State Law; CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS, VIRGINIA, In its individual capacity as a person, acting under Color of State Law; FORMER CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS, VIRGINIA, RICHARD W. MYERS, In his individual capacity as a person, acting under Color of State Law; CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS VIRGINIA POLICE OFFICERS JOHN/JANE DOE, In their individual capacities as persons, acting under Color of State Law; CITY OF HAMPTON, VIRGINIA, In its individual capacity as a person, acting under Color of State Law; CHIEF OF POLICE MR. TERRY SULT FOR THE CITY OF HAMPTON, VIRGINIA, In his individual capacity, as a person acting under Color of State Law; CITY OF HAMPTON VIRGINIA POLICE TECHNICAL POLICE OFFICER(S) JOHN/JANE DOE(S), In their individual capacities, as persons acting under Color of State Law; CITY OF HAMPTON VIRGINIA POLICE OFFICERS JOHN/JANE DOE(S), In their individual capacities as persons acting under Color of State Law; FBI AGENT MRS./MS. KATHERINE ANDREWS, Former Special Agent in Charge of the Newport News Field Office, In her individual capacity, as a person acting under Color of federal and State Law; FBI NEWPORT NEWS FIELD OFFICE, JOHN/JANE DOE(S), TECHNICAL AND FIELD AGENT(S), In their individual capacities, as persons acting under Color of federal and State Law,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Newport News. Arenda L. Wright Allen, District Judge. (4:18-cv-00001-AWA-DEM)

\_\_\_\_

Submitted: June 20, 2019	Decided: June 24, 2019
Before NIEMEYER, AGEE, and RIC	HARDSON, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam o	ppinion.
John M. Dickson, Jr., Appellant Pro S	e. 

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

John M. Dickson, Jr., appeals the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Dickson v. Price*, No. 4:18-cv-00001-AWA-DEM (E.D. Va. Mar. 19, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**