

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 19-1810**

---

NICOLE RUBY BRIDGEWATER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

5800 SEWARD, LLC, a/k/a D&B Hoovers, a/k/a Dun & Bradstreet,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at  
Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, Chief District Judge. (5:19-cv-00159-BO)

---

Submitted: December 6, 2019

Decided: December 18, 2019

---

Before DIAZ and RUSHING, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Remanded by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Nicole Ruby Bridgewater, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Nicole Ruby Bridgewater seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing her complaint as frivolous. Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). However, if a party moves for an extension of time to appeal within 30 days after expiration of the original appeal period and demonstrates excusable neglect or good cause, a district court may extend the time to file a notice of appeal. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5)(A); *Washington v. Bumgarner*, 882 F.2d 899, 900-01 (4th Cir. 1989).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on July 1, 2019. Bridgewater filed her notice of appeal after the expiration of the 30-day appeal period but within the 30-day excusable neglect period. Because Bridgewater's notice of appeal contained language requesting further time to file her appeal, we construe it as a request for an extension of time under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5). Accordingly, although we deny Bridgewater's motion to expedite the decision, we remand this case to the district court for the limited purpose of determining whether Bridgewater's request for extension of time was timely filed and, if so, whether she has demonstrated excusable neglect or good cause warranting an extension of the 30-day appeal period. The record, as supplemented, may then be returned to this court for further consideration.

*REMANDED*