## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 19-1922	
In re: FRANKLIN C. SMITH,		
Petitioner.		
On Peti	ition for Writ of Man	damus.
Submitted: December 16, 2019		Decided: January 3, 2020
Before KING and QUATTLEBA Judge.	AUM, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Petition denied by unpublished per	curiam opinion.	
Franklin C. Smith, Petitioner Pro S	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Franklin C. Smith petitions for a writ of mandamus concerning receipt of his disability benefits. We conclude that Smith is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); *United States v. Moussaoui*, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. *In re Murphy-Brown, LLC*, 907 F.3d 788, 795 (4th Cir. 2018).

The relief sought by Smith is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED