## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	No. 19-6003	_
JULIO CESAR RODRIGUEZ,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
JACK CLELLAND, Superintende	nt,	
Respondent -	Appellee.	
		_
Appeal from the United States Dis Greensboro. Thomas D. Schroede		
Submitted: April 4, 2019		Decided: April 10, 2019
Before NIEMEYER and HARRIS	Circuit Judges, and	SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed and remanded by unpub	olished per curiam op	pinion. -
Julio Cesar Rodriguez, Appellant I	Pro Se.	_
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Julio Cesar Rodriguez seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition without prejudice. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). Because the district court's order indicated that Rodriguez could cure the defects in his petition through amendment, we conclude that the order is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. See Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc., 807 F.3d 619, 623-24 (4th Cir. 2015); Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and remand the case to the district court with instructions to allow Rodriguez to amend his petition. See Goode, 807 F.3d at 630. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED AND REMANDED