UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6032	
٠,	
pellee,	
appellant.	
	estern District of North Carolina, at cr-00004-MOC-1; 5:16-cv-00106-
	Decided: December 22, 2021
UM, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
am opinion.	
for Appellant. Amy	VESTERN NORTH CAROLINA, VEIIZABETH Ray, Assistant United S ATTORNEY, Asheville, North
	ellee, ppellant. crict Court for the Web District Judge. (5:02- UM, Circuit Judges am opinion. DEFENDERS OF V for Appellant. Amy

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Samuel Clint Blancher seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Blancher has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED