UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

·		
_	No. 19-6039	
GEORGE NAPOLEON MOSES, a	a/k/a George N. Mos	es,
Plaintiff - App	oellant,	
v.		
DAVID M. PASCOE; WINNIFA	B. CLARK; STATE	OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States I Orangeburg. R. Bryan Harwell, Cl		
Submitted: April 4, 2019		Decided: April 10, 2019
Before NIEMEYER and HARRIS,	Circuit Judges, and	SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
George Napoleon Moses, Appellan	nt Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

George Napoleon Moses appeals the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation and dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) action with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (2012). On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Moses' informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Moses has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED