UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 19-6136	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	·,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
V.		
KELVIN JEROME NEWKIRK,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Wilmington. Malcolm J. Howard 00345-H)		
Submitted: April 25, 2019		Decided: April 30, 2019
Before FLOYD and QUATTLEB Judge.	AUM, Circuit Judge	es, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Kelvin Jerome Newkirk, Appellant	t Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kelvin Jerome Newkirk seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Newkirk has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED