## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		•
_	No. 19-6203	_
ROBERT WILLIAM WAZNEY,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
WARDEN OF LEE CORRECTIO	NAL INSTITUTION	N,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States I Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr.,		
Submitted: July 16, 2019		Decided: July 24, 2019
Before KING and RICHARDSON	, Circuit Judges, and	SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Robert William Wazney, Appellan	t Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Robert William Wazney seeks to appeal the district court's orders accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Wazney has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. In light of this disposition, we also deny Wazney's motion for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED