UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| _ | No. 19-6310 | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|
| JACK EARL VANCE, | | |
| Plaintiff - App | ellant, | |
| v. | | |
| LLOYD WILLIAM LIGHTNER, S | SR., | |
| Defendant - Ap | ppellee, | |
| and | | |
| WILLIAM LIGHTNER, | | |
| Defendant. | | |
| Appeal from the United States Distr Bluefield. David A. Faber, Senior I | | _ |
| Submitted: September 26, 2019 | | Decided: September 30, 2019 |
| Before NIEMEYER and KEENAl Judge. | N, Circuit Judges, a | and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curian | n opinion. | |
| Jack Earl Vance, Appellant Pro Se. | | |

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jack Earl Vance appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Vance's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Vance v. Lightner*, No. 1:16-cv-12296 (S.D.W. Va. Feb. 5, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED