## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Antwoine McKinley Jones appeals the judgment in favor of Appellees after a bench trial before the magistrate judge\* on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint that alleged deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. "Following a bench trial, we review the district court's factual findings for clear error and its legal conclusions de novo." *Wards Corner Beauty Acad. v. Nat'l Accrediting Comm'n of Career Arts & Scis.*, 922 F.3d 568, 573 (4th Cir. 2019). We have reviewed the magistrate judge's findings of fact and conclusions of law and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment for the reasons stated by the magistrate judge. *Jones v. Smith*, No. 7:17-cv-00244-PMS (W.D. Va. Feb. 20, 2019, Mar. 18, 2019). Because Jones fails to show the existence of a substantial question for appeal, we deny his motion for transcripts at Government expense. 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) (2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup> The parties consented to proceed before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (2012).