## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 19-6478	
KENNETH KELLY DUVALL,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
CARLOS HERNANDEZ, Superint	tendent of Avery Mi	tchell Correctional,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dis at Asheville. Frank D. Whitney, C.		
Submitted: June 20, 2019		Decided: June 25, 2019
Before NIEMEYER, AGEE, and R	ICHARDSON, Circ	uit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Kenneth Kelly DuVall, Appellant F	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Kenneth Kelly DuVall seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that DuVall has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny DuVall's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED